

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,566	(	06/29/2001	Joon-Ha Park	8733.454.00 5094	
30827	7590	08/14/2003			
		& ALDRIDGE L	EXAMINER		
	STREET, NW IINGTON, DC 20006			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2674	6
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	$\mathcal{L}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/893,566	PARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer T Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>une 2001</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to.						
<ul><li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers</li></ul>	election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action f</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/893,566

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,139).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, Tanaka teaches a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, wherein the liquid crystal display device includes a gate line (31, 32...); a data line (41, 42...) crossing the gate line (31, 32...); a dummy gate line (30) adjacent the gate line (31, 32...); a thin film transistor (5) connected to the gate line (31) and data line (41); a first capacitor (cgd2) receiving signals from the thin film transistor (5); and a storage capacitor (13) connected to the first capacitor (cgd2), the method comprising applying a dummy gate signal (G0) to the dummy gate line (30), wherein the dummy gate signal (G0) has a substantially same waveform as a gate signal (G1, G2...) applied to the gate line (31, 32...) (see abstract, from col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 20 and from col. 6, line 59 to col. 7, line 47).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Tanaka also teaches that the gate signal (G1, G2...) and dummy gate signal (G0) are pulse signals having a high period of one horizontal line period (1H) (Figs. 2 and 7).

Regarding claim 4, Tanaka further teaches the high period of the dummy gate signal (G0)

Application/Control Number: 09/893,566

Art Unit: 2674

precedes the high period of the gate signal (G1, G2...) by one horizontal line period (Fig. 2. col. 7, lines 21-47).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,139) in view if Lee (U.S. Patent No. 5,940,055).

Regarding claim 5, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, Tanaka teaches a driving circuit of a liquid crystal display device, wherein the liquid crystal display device includes a gate line (31); a data line (41) crossing the gate line (31); a dummy gate line (30) adjacent the gate line (31); a thin film transistor (5) connected to the gate and data lines; a first capacitor (cgd2) receiving signals from the thin film transistor (5); and a storage capacitor (13) connected to the first capacitor, the driving circuit comprising: a gate driver (K) producing a gate signal (G1), the gate signal (G1) being applied to the gate line (31); a data driver (L) producing a data signal, the data signal being applied to the data line (41); a dummy gate signal (G0) of a substantially same waveform as the gate signal (G1), the dummy gate signal (G1) being applied to the dummy gate line (31).

Tanaka differs from claim 5 in that he does not specifically teach a dummy gate driver producing a dummy gate signal. However, referring to Fig. 3, Lee teaches a dummy gate driver

Art Unit: 2674

(i.e., voltage circuit 400) producing a dummy gate signal (Vd) (col. 5, lines 50-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dummy gate driver as taught by Lee in the system of Tanaka in order to provide a driver thereof for preventing non-uniform brightness in the display device independently and efficiently.

- 5. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Hayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,130,654) teaches driving method of a LCD device.

Shirahashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,285,301) teaches LCD device having peripheral dummy lines.

Tsukada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,955,697) teaches LCD device and method of driving the same.

Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,745,090) teaches wiring structure and driving method for storage capacitors.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A Hjerpe can be reach at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademark

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T Nguyen 08/07/2003 Art Unit 2674

674